SCIENTIA
Series A: Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 17 (2009), 19–26
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María
Valparaíso, Chile
ISSN 0716-8446
© Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María 2009

Boundedness for multilinear commutator of multiplier operator on Hardy Spaces

Wang Kewei and Liu Lanzhe

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the $(H^p_{\vec{b}}, L^p)$ and (H^1, L^1) type boundedness for the multilinear commutator associated with the Multiplier operator and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions are obtained.

1. Introduction.

Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and T be the Calderón-Zygmund operator. The commutator [b, T] generated by b and T is defined by

$$[b,T]f(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x).$$

A classical result of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss (see [1, 2]) proved that the commutator [b, T] is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (1 . However, it was observed that the<math>[b, T] is not bounded, in general, from $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)(p > 1)$. But if $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is replaced by a suitable atomic space $H^P_{\vec{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then [b, T] maps continuously $H^P_{\vec{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition we easily known that $H^p_{\vec{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The main purpose of this paper is to consider the continuity of the multilinear commutators related to the multiplier operators and $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions on certain Hardy spaces. Besides this paper also proves the multilinear commutators' boundedness from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2. Definitions and Lemmas.

Let us first introduce some definitions. Given a positive integer m and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we denote by C_j^m the family of all finite subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(j)\}$ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of j different elements. For $\sigma \in C_j^m$, set $\sigma^c = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \sigma$. For $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ and $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(j)\} \in C_j^m$, set $\vec{b}_{\sigma} = (b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(j)})$, $b_{\sigma} = b_{\sigma(1)} \dots b_{\sigma(j)}$ and $||\vec{b}_{\sigma}||_{BMO} = ||b_{\sigma(1)}||_{BMO} \dots ||b_{\sigma(j)}||_{BMO}$.

A bounded measurable function k defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \smallsetminus \{0\}$ is called a multiplier. The multiplier operator T_k associated with k is defined by

$$(T_k f)(x) = k(x)f(x), \text{ for } f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Multiplier operator; Multilinear commutator; BMO; Hardy space.

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f and $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwarz test function class.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be a multi-index of non-negative integers $\alpha_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Denote by D^{α} the partial differential operators of order α as follows:

$$D^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

Now, we recall the definition of the class M(s, l). Denote by $|x| \sim t$ the fact that the value of x lies in the annulus $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : at < |x| < bt\}$, where $0 < a \leq 1 < b < \infty$ are values specified in each instance.

Definition 1.([17])

Let $l \ge 0$ be a real number and $1 \le s \le 2$. we say that the multiplier k satisfies the condition M(s, l), if

$$\left(\int_{|\xi|\sim R} |D^{\alpha}k(\xi)|^s d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} < CR^{n/s - |\alpha|}$$

for all R > 0 and multi-indices α with $|\alpha| \leq l$, when l is a positive integer, and in addition, if

$$\left(\int_{|\xi|\sim R} |D^{\alpha}k(\xi) - D^{\alpha}k(\xi-z)|^s d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leqslant C(\frac{|z|}{R})^{\gamma} R^{\frac{n}{s}-|\alpha|}$$

for all |z| < R/2 and all multi-indices α with $|\alpha| = [l]$, the integer part of l, i.e., [l] is the greatest integer less than or equal to l, and $l = [l] + \gamma$ when l is not an integer. Definition 2.

Let $\overrightarrow{b} = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_m)(m > 1)$, $T_k f(x) = (K * f)(x)$ for $K(x) = \check{k}(x)$, we define the multilinear commutator of multiplier operator

$$T^{\overrightarrow{b}}(f)(y) = [\overrightarrow{b}, T_k]f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(y) - b_j(z))K(y-z)f(z)dz,$$

and $T(f)(y) = T_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z)K(y-z)dz$. Definition 3.([9, 17])

Let 0 , a is called a <math>(1, q) - atom, if a satisfies:

- $(1)suppa \subset B(x_0, r);$
- $(2)||a||_{L^q} \leqslant |B(x_0, r)|^{1/q-1};$
- $(3)\int a(x)x^{\gamma}dx = 0$, for any $0 \leq |\gamma| \leq [s](s \geq 0)$.

A temperate distribution f is said to belong to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if, in the Schwartz distribution sense, it can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j(x),$$

where a_j are (1,q) atoms, $\lambda_j \in C$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$. Moreover, $||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|$.

Definition 4.([9, 17])

Let b_i $(i = 1, \dots, m)$ be a locally integrable function and 0 . A boundedmeasurable function a on \mathbb{R}^n is said a (p, \vec{b}) atom, if

- (1) $suppa \subset B = B(x_0, r)$
- (2) $||a||_{L^{\infty}} \leq |B|^{-1/p}$

(3) $\int_B a(y)dy = \int_B a(y) \prod_{l \in \sigma} b_l(y)dy = 0$ for any $\sigma \in C_j^m$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. A temperate distribution f is said to belong to $H^p_{\overline{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if, in the Schwartz distribution sense, it can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j(x),$$

where a_j are (p, \vec{b}) atoms, $\lambda_j \in C$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$. Moreover, $||f||_{H^p_{\vec{k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx$ $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p)^{1/p}.$

Lemma 1.([17])

Let $k \in M(s, l), 1 \leq s \leq 2$, and $l > \frac{n}{s}$; then the associated mapping T_k , defined a priory for $f \in \hat{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $T_k f(x) = (f * K)(x)$, extends to a bounded mapping from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself for $1 , <math>K(x) = \check{k}(x)$, for $D(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) : supp(\phi) \text{ is compact}\}$ and $\hat{D}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) : \hat{\phi} \in D(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \hat{\phi} \text{ vanishes in a neighbourhood of the} \}$ origin}.

Lemma 2.([18],[19])

Let $1 \leq s \leq 2, 1 < \tilde{s} < \infty$, suppose that l is a real number with l > n/r, 1/r = $max\{1/s, 1-1/\tilde{s}\}$, and $k \in M(s, l), K(x) = \check{k}(x)$. If one of the following three conditions is verified

 $1)\{l\} < \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 + \{l\} - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\2)\{l\} = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{r}\}; \\(m) = \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < 1 - (\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta$ $3)\{l\} > \{\frac{n}{r}\}, \ 0 < m\beta < \{l\} - \{\frac{n}{r}\}.$

Then there is a positive constant t, such that

$$\left(\int_{2^{k+1}Q \smallsetminus 2^k Q} |K(x-z) - K(x_Q - z)|^{\tilde{s}} dz\right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \leqslant C 2^{-kt} (2^k h)^{-n/\tilde{s}'}.$$

Lemma 3.([4])

Let $1 < s \leq 2$, l is an integer, with $n/s < l \leq n$, and $k \in M(s, l)$, then $|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_{n}\}|$ $|T(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C\lambda^{-1} ||f||_{L^1}$, for any constant C > 0 and $\lambda > 0$.

3. Theorems and Proofs

Theorem 1.

Let $b_i \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\vec{b} = (b_1, \cdots, b_m)$, n/(n+t) , <math>t > 0, then the multilinear commutator $T^{\vec{b}}$ is bounded from $H^p_{\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof.

It suffices to show that there exists a constant C > 0, such that for every (p, \vec{b}) atom a in Definition 4,

$$||T^b(a)||_{L^p} \leqslant C.$$

Let a be a (p, \vec{b}) atom supported on a ball $B = B(x_0, r)$. Write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)|^p dx = \int_{|x-x_0| \leq 2r} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)|^p dx + \int_{|x-x_0| > 2r} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)|^p dx \equiv I + II.$$

For I, taking q > 1, by Hölder's inequality and the L^q – boundedness of $T^{\vec{b}}$, we see that

See that $I \leq \left(\int_{|x-x_0| \leq 2r} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)|^{p \cdot \frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{p/q} \cdot |B(x_0, 2r)|^{1-p/q}$ $\leq C ||T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)||_{L^q}^p \cdot |B(x_0, 2r)|^{1-p/q}$ $\leq C ||\vec{b}||_{BMO}^p ||a||_{L^q}^p |B|^{1-p/q}$ $\leq C ||\vec{b}||_{BMO}^p.$

For II, denoting $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ with $\lambda_i = (b_i)_B$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $(b_i)_B = \frac{1}{|B(x_0,r)|} \int_{B(x_0,r)} b_i(x) dx$, by Lemma 2, Hölder's inequality and the vanishing moment of a, we get

$$\begin{split} II &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}r \geqslant |x-x_0| > 2^{k}r} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)|^p dx \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}r \geqslant |x-x_0| > 2^{k}r} |T^{\vec{b}}(a)(x)| dx \right)^p \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ &\times \left[\int_{2^{k+1}r \geqslant |x-x_0| > 2^{k}r} \left(|\int_B \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - b_j(y)) K(x-y)a(y) dy| \right) dx \right]^p \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ &\times \left[\int_{2^{k+1}r \geqslant |x-x_0| > 2^{k}r} \left(|\int_B \prod_{j=1}^m (b_j(x) - b_j(y)) (K(x-y) - K(x-x_0))a(y) dy| \right) dx \right]^p \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ &\times \left[\int_{2^{k+1}B > 2^{k}B} \left(\int_B \prod_{j=1}^m |(b_j(x) - \lambda_j) - (b_j(y) - \lambda_j)| |K(x-y) - K(x-x_0)||a(y)| dy \right) dx \right]^p \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \end{split}$$

p

$$\begin{split} & \times \left[\int_{2^{k+1}B \sim 2^k B} \left(\int_B \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e} ||K(x - y) - K(x - x_0)||a(y)|dy \right) dx \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ & \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} \int_{2^{k+1}B \sim 2^k B} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}| \left(\int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e} ||K(x - y) - K(x - x_0)||a(y)|dy \right) dx \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ & \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e} ||a(y)| \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B \sim 2^k B} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}||K(x - y) - K(x - x_0)|dx \right) dy \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e}||a(y)| \left(\left(\int_{2^{k+1}B \sim 2^k B} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}||K(x - y) - K(x - x_0)|dx \right) dy \right)^{1/s'} \\ & \times \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B \sim 2^k B} |K(x - y) - K(x - x_0)|^{\bar{s}} dx \right)^{1/\bar{s}} dy \right]^{p} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ & \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e}||a(y)|dy \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}|^{\bar{s}'} dx \right)^{1/\bar{s}'} 2^{-kt} (2^k B)^{-1/\bar{s}'} \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \\ & \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e}||a(y)|dy \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B} |(\vec{b}(x) - \lambda)_{\sigma}|^{\bar{s}'} dx \right)^{1/\bar{s}'} 2^{-kt} (2^k B)^{-1/\bar{s}'} \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-kpt} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} (k+1)^\sigma ||\vec{b}_{\sigma}||_{BMO} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e} ||a(y)|dy \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-kpt} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} (k+1)^\sigma ||\vec{b}_{\sigma}||_{BMO} \int_B |(\vec{b}(y) - \lambda)_{\sigma^e} ||a(y)|dy \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-kpt} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} (k+1)^\sigma ||\vec{b}_{BMO} ||\vec{b}_{BMO} \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-kpt} |B(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)|^{1-p} \left[\sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_i^m} (k+1)^\sigma ||\vec{b}_{BMO} ||\vec{b}_{MO} \right]^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-kpt} ||\vec{b}_{MO} . \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2.Let $1 < s \leq 2, l > n/s$ is an integer, $k \in M(s, l)$. If $0 < m\beta < 1 - \{\frac{n}{s}\}$, $\vec{b} \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $T^{\vec{b}}$ is weak bounded from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $\{\frac{n}{s}\} = \frac{n}{s} - [\frac{n}{s}]$.

Proof. Let $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ be the atomic decomposition for f as in Definition 3, for a_j is a (1,q)-atom(q > 1), $\lambda_j \in C$, suppose $suppa_j \subset B_j = B(x_j, r_j)$, and denote $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} b_i(x) dx$, then

$$T^{\vec{b}}(f)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i(x) - b_{ij}) Ta_j(x) \chi_{2B_j}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i(x) - b_{ij}) Ta_j(x) \chi_{(2B_j)^c}(x) -T(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i - b_{ij}) a_j)(x) = J_1(x) + J_2(x) + J_3(x).$$

For $J_1(x)$, noting that T is bounded from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)(q>1)$ (Lemma 1).

$$\begin{split} \|\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i(x) - b_{ij})T(a_j)(x)\chi_{2B_j}(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \leqslant \quad \int_{2B_j} |\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i(x) - b_{ij})T(a_j)(x)| dx \\ \leqslant \quad C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO} |B_j|^{1-1/q} \|T(a_j)\|_{L^q} \\ \leqslant \quad C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO} |B_j|^{(1-\frac{1}{q})+\frac{1}{q}-1} \\ \leqslant \quad C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO}, \end{split}$$

 \mathbf{so}

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in R^{n} : |J_{1}(x)| > \lambda/3\}| \\ \leqslant & 3\lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{j}||| \prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij}) T(a_{j})(x) \chi_{2B_{j}}(x)||_{L^{1}(R^{n})} \\ \leqslant & C ||\vec{b}||_{BMO} \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{j}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality and the size condition of a in Definition 3, we have

$$\|\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_i(x) - b_{ij})a_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO},$$

since T is a weak type of (1,1) (Lemma 3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in R^{n} : |J_{3}(x) > \lambda/3\}| \\ \leqslant & C\lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{j}| \| \prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij}) a_{j}(x) \|_{L^{1}(R^{n})} \\ \leqslant & C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO} \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{j}|. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\triangle K(x, y, x_j) = K(x-y) - K(x-x_j)$, $D_k(x_j) = \{x : 2^k r_j < |x-x_j| < 2^{k+1} r_j\}$, by the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2, the size condition and the vanishing moment of a in Definition 3, for $J_2(x)$, we get

$$\begin{split} &\|\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij})T(a_{j})(x)\chi_{(2B_{j})^{c}}(x)\|_{L^{1}(R^{n})} \\ \leqslant \quad \int_{(2B_{j})^{c}} |\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij}) \left(\int_{B_{j}} K(x - y)a_{j}(y)dy\right)|dx \\ \leqslant \quad \int_{(2B_{j})^{c}} |\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij}) \left(\int_{B_{j}} \triangle K(x, y, x_{j})a_{j}(y)dy\right)|dx \\ \leqslant \quad \int_{B_{j}} |a_{j}(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{D_{k}(x_{j})} |\triangle K(x, y, x_{j})\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij})|dx\right)dy \\ \leqslant \quad C\int_{B_{j}} |a_{j}(y)|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{D_{k}(x_{j})} |\triangle K(x, y, x_{j})|^{\tilde{s}}dx\right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \left(\int_{2^{k+1}B_{j}} |\prod_{i=1}^{m} (b_{i}(x) - b_{ij})|^{\tilde{s}'}dx\right)^{1/\tilde{s}'}dy \\ \leqslant \quad C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}\int_{B_{j}} |a_{j}(y)|dy\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kt}(k+1)^{m} \\ \leqslant \quad C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}|B_{j}|^{\frac{1}{q}-1}|B_{j}|^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(l-n/s)}(k+1)^{m} \\ \leqslant \quad C||\vec{b}||_{BMO}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we get

$$|\{x \in R^n : |J_2(x)| > \lambda/3\}| \leq C \|\vec{b}\|_{BMO} \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in R^{n} : |T^{\vec{b}}(f)(x)| > \lambda\}| \\ \leqslant & C \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\{x \in R^{n} : |J_{i}(x)| > \lambda/3\}| \\ \leqslant & C ||\vec{b}||_{BMO} \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{j}|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- R.R.Coifman, R.Rochberg and G.Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy Spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math., 103(1976), 611-635.
- R.Coifman, R.L.Lions, Y.Meyer and S.Semmes, Compensated compactness and Hardy Spaces, J. Math. Pure Appl., 72(3)(1993), 247-286.
- [3] S.Janson, Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators, Ark. Math., 16(1978), 263-270.
- [4] D.S.Kurtz, R.L.Wheeden, Results on weighted norm inequalities for multiplies, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 255(1979), 343-362.
- [5] D.S.Kurtz, Sharp function estimates for fractional integrals and related operators, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Series A, 49(1990), 129-137.
- [6] S.Z.Lu, Q.Wu and D.C.Yang, Boundedness of commutators on Hardy type spaces, Science in China(Ser. A), 45(8)(2002), 984-997.
- [7] S.Z.Lu, H.X.Wu and P.Zhang, Multilinear singular integral with rough kernel, Acta Math. Sinica, 19(1)(2003),51-62.
- [8] S.Z.Lu and P.Zhang, Lipschitz estimates for generalized commutators of fractional integrals with rough kernel, Math. Nachr., 252(1)(2003), 70-85.
- [9] S.Z.Lu, Four lectures on real H^p spaces, New York, Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Led, 1995.
- [10] L.Z. Liu, Weighted weak type (H¹, L¹) estimates for commutators of Littlewood-Paley operator, Indian J. of Math., 45(2003), 71-78.
- [11] B.Muckenhoupt, R.L.Wheeden and W.S.Young, Sufficient conditions for L^p multipliers with general weights, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 300(2)(1987), 463-502.
- [12] M.Paluszyński, Characterization of the Besov spaces via the commutator operator of Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 44(1)(1995), 1-17.
- [13] J.O.Strömberg and A.Torkinsky, Weighted Hardy spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol.1381, SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [14] E.M.Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of function, Princeton: Princeton Univ.Press, in 1970, 94-112.
- [15] E.M.Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [16] H.Triebel, Theory of function spaces, Monograph in Math., Vol.78, 1983.
- [17] Z.You, Results of commutators obtained norm inequalities, Adv.in Math., 17(1)(1988), 79-84.
- [18] P.Zhang and J.C.Chen, The $(L^p, \dot{F}_p^{\beta,\infty})$ -boundedness of commutators of multiplies, Acta Math.Sinica, 21(4)(2005), 765-772.
- [19] P.Zhang and J.C.Chen, Boundedness properties for commutators of multiplies, Acta Math. Sinica, 49(6)(2006), 1387-1396.

Received 02 06 2008, revised 16 11 2008

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410076, P.R.of China E-mail address: lanzheliu@163.com

Current address: Current address: Department of Mathematics, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410076, P.R.of China E-mail address: wky19890327@163.com