SCIENTIA Series A: Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 30 (2020), 91–102 Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María Valparaíso, Chile ISSN 0716-8446 © Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María 2020

The valuation tree for $n^2 + 7$

Olena Kozhushkina, Maila Brucal Hallare, Jane Long, Victor H. Moll, Jean-Claude Pedjeu, Bianca Thompson, and Justin Trulen

ABSTRACT. The 2-adic valuation of an integer x is the highest power of 2 which divides x. It is denoted by $\nu_2(x)$. The goal of the present work is to describe the sequence $\{\nu_2(n^2 + a)\}$ for $1 \leq a \leq 7$. The first six cases are elementary. The last case considered here, namely a = 7, presents distinct challenges. It is shown here how to represent this family of valuations in the form of an infinite binary tree, with two symmetric infinite branches.

1. Introduction

For a prime p and an integer x, the p-adic valuation $\nu_p(x)$ is the highest power of p which divides x. The problems considered here deal with p-adic valuations of sequences generated by a polynomial. In detail, we consider the sequence

(1.1)
$$V_p(f) = \{\nu_p(f(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

for a polynomial f with integer coefficients. An important ingredient in the analysis of $V_p(f)$ is the ring of p-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . One description of this is ring is via series:

(1.2)
$$x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$
 if and only if $x = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} c_k p^k$

where $k_0 \ge 0$ and $0 \le c_k \le p-1$ are integers. The series is convergent in the *p*-adic norm

(1.3)
$$|x|_p = p^{-k_0}$$

and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is invertible in \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if $|x|_p = 1$; that is, $k_0 = 0$.

The next result appears in [?].

Theorem 1.1. Let p be a prime and $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial, irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Then $V_p(f)$ is either periodic or unbounded. Moreover, $V_p(f)$ is periodic if and only if f has no zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p . In the periodic case, the minimal periodic length is a power of p.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A07, Secondary 11S05.

Key words and phrases. quadratic polynomials.

OLENA KOZHUSHKINA ET AL

2. Some elementary examples

This section contains the description of $\{\nu_2(n^2 + a)\}$ for some small values of a, where the analysis is elementary.

Proposition 2.1. The 2-adic valuation of $n^2 + 1$ is given by

(2.1)
$$\nu_2(n^2+1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. If n is even, then $n^2 + 1$ is odd and so $\nu_2(n^2 + 1) = 0$. On the other hand, if n is odd, say n = 2m + 1, then $n^2 + 1 = 2(2[m^2 + m] + 1)$. Therefore $n^2 + 1$ is twice an odd number and so $\nu_2(n^2 + 1) = 1$.



FIGURE 1. The complete tree for $\nu_2(n^2+1)$

Figure 1 contains a tree representation of the set of valuations $\{\nu_2(n^2+1)\}$. The process creates a collections of levels, formed by vertices with a set of indices associated to them, denoted by $\mathcal{I}(v)$.

A vertex v is split to a next level below if the set of values $\{\nu_2(n^2+1)\}$, for indices $n \in \mathcal{I}(v)$, does not reduce to a singleton; that is, there are indices $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{I}(v)$, such that $\nu_2(n_1^2+1) \neq \nu_2(n_2^2+1)$. In the case a vertex splits, then the two new descendants are at one level higher and if $\mathcal{I}(v)$ has the form $\{n \equiv j \mod 2^a\}$, then the index sets of the descendants are $\{n \equiv j \mod 2^{a+1}\}$ (for the left-one) and $\{n \equiv j + 2^a \mod 2^{a+1}\}$ (for the right-one). A vertex which does not split is called **terminal**. Starting with the root of the tree, all the vertices that split from the k^{th} level form the $(k+1)^{st}$ level.

The process begins with the root v_0 with $\mathcal{I}(v_0) = \mathbb{N}$. The data $\nu_2(1^2+1) = 1$ and $\nu_2(2^2+1) = 0$, shows that v_0 splits. The vertices at the second level are $\{n \equiv 0 \mod 2\}$ (for the left-one) and $\{n \equiv 1 \mod 2\}$ (for the right-one). Now consider the vertex v_1 with $\mathcal{I}(v_1) = \{n \equiv 0 \mod 2\}$ and v_2 with $\mathcal{I}(v_2) = \{n \equiv 1 \mod 2\}$. For each $n \in \mathcal{I}(v_1), n^2 + 1 = (2m)^2 + 1 \equiv 1 \mod 2$ and $\{\nu_2(n^2+1)\}$ reduces to $\{0\}$, showing that v_1 is a terminal vertex, with assigned value 0. Similarly, for the vertex v_2 , with $\mathcal{I}(v_2) = \{n \equiv 1 \mod 2\}$, one sees directly that $\{\nu_2(n^2+1)\} \equiv \{1\}$, showing that v_2 is also terminal, with assigned value 1. Therefore, the set of valuations $\{\nu_2(n^2+1)\}$ is represented by a finite tree, containing two levels.

Proposition 2.2. The 2-adic valuation of $n^2 + 2$ is given by

(2.2)
$$\nu_2(n^2+2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. If n is odd, then $n^2 + 2$ is also odd and then $\nu_2(n^2 + 2) = 0$. On the other hand, if n is even, say n = 2m, then $n^2 + 2 = 2(2m^2 + 1)$, twice an odd number and it follows that $\nu_2(n^2 + 2) = 1$.



FIGURE 2. The complete tree for $\nu_2(n^2+2)$

Proposition 2.3. The 2-adic valuation of $n^2 + 3$ is given by

(2.3)
$$\nu_2(n^2+3) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

PROOF. For *n* even, $n^2 + 3$ is odd, so $\nu_2(n^2 + 3) = 0$. On the other hand, if n = 2m + 1, then $n^2 + 3 = 4([m(m+1)] + 1)$ and this is 4 times an odd number. \Box



FIGURE 3. The complete tree for $\nu_2(n^2+3)$

The next result gives the valuations of $n^2 + 4$. The proof is similar to the one given in the previous examples, so it is omitted.

Proposition 2.4. The 2-adic valuation of $n^2 + 4$ is given by

(2.4)
$$\nu_2(n^2+4) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 2\\ 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4\\ 3 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

In the tree, the presence of a vertex v inside a square and without a numerical label, as in the second level of Figure 5, indicates that the valuation of $n^2 + 4$ for indices n in $\mathcal{I}(v)$ is not constant, and so the vertex must be split to the next level.

The reader is invited to draw trees for the sequences $\{\nu_2(n^2+5)\}\$ and $\{\nu_2(n^2+6)\}$.



FIGURE 4. The first level for the tree of $\nu_2(n^2+4)$



FIGURE 5. The complete tree for $\nu_2(n^2+4)$

3. The labeling of the classes

Given a polynomial f(x) with integer coefficients, the sequence $\{\nu_2(f(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has been described via a tree. This is called the *valuation tree attached to f*. The vertices correspond to some selected classes

(3.1) $C_{m,j} = \{2^m i + j : i \in \mathbb{N}\},\$

starting with the root vertex v_0 for $C_{0,0} = \mathbb{N}$. The procedure to select the classes is explained below in the example $f(x) = x^2 + 16$. Some notation for the vertices of the tree is introduced next.

Definition 3.1. A residue class $C_{m,j}$ is called *terminal* for the tree attached to f, if the valuation $\nu_2(f(2^m i + j))$ is independent of the index $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise it is called *non-terminal*. The same terminology is given to vertices. In the tree, terminal vertices are marked by their constant valuation and non-terminal vertices are marked with a star.

Example 3.2. The construction of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2 + 16)$ starts with the fact that $\nu_2(1^2 + 16) = 0$ and $\nu_2(2^2 + 16) = 2 \neq 0$, showing that the root node v_0 is non-terminal. This node is split into two vertices that form the first level. These correspond to $C_{1,0} = \{2i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $C_{1,1} = \{2i + 1 : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For the class $C_{1,0}$, the valuation

$$\nu_2((2i)^2 + 16) = 2 + \nu_2(i^2 + 4)$$

depends on i, so $C_{1,0}$ is non-terminal. For the class $C_{1,1}$,

$$\nu_2((2i+1)^2 + 16) = \nu_2(4i^2 + 4i + 17) = 0,$$

showing that $C_{1,1}$ is a terminal class with valuation 0. Figure 6 shows the root and the first level of the tree associated to $\nu_2(n^2 + 16)$.



FIGURE 6. The root and the first level of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2 + 16)$

The class $C_{1,0}$ is now split into $C_{2,0} = \{4i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $C_{2,2} = \{4i + 2 : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. These two classes form the second level. For $C_{2,0}$, the valuation

$$\nu_2((4i)^2 + 16) = 4 + \nu_2(i^2 + 1)$$

shows that this class is non-terminal. In the class $C_{2,2}$,

$$\nu_2((4i+2)^2+16) = \nu_2(16i^2+16i+20) = 2 + \nu_2(4i^2+4i+5) = 2.$$

Therefore $C_{2,2}$ is a terminal class with valuation 2.



FIGURE 7. Two levels of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2 + 16)$

The third level contains the two classes $C_{3,0}$ and $C_{3,4}$ descending from $C_{2,0}$. The first class is terminal with valuation 4, since

$$\nu_2((8i)^2 + 16) = 4 + \nu_2(4i^2 + 1) = 4.$$

The second class is also terminal, with valuation 5, since

$$\nu_2((8i+4)^2+16) = \nu_2(64i^2+64i+32) = 5 + \nu_2(2i^2+2i+1) = 5$$

Therefore, every class in the third level is terminal and the tree is complete.



FIGURE 8. The complete tree for $\nu_2(n^2 + 16)$

4. The 2-adic tree valuation of $n^2 + 7$

It is apriori surprising that the valuations of $\nu_2(n^2+7)$ present a more erratic behavior than the cases considered before. The goal of this section is to describe the set $\{\nu_2(n^2+7)\}$ via its valuation tree.

Construction of the tree. The values $\nu_2(1^2+7) = 3 \neq \nu_2(2^2+7) = 0$, show that the root vertex v_0 has to be split into two classes to form the first level:

(4.1)
$$C_{1,0} = \{2n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and } C_{1,1} = \{2n+1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is easy to check that the class $C_{1,0}$ is terminal since $\nu_2((2n)^2 + 7) = 0$. Figure 9 shows the root vertex and the first level of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2+7)$.



FIGURE 9. The root and the first level of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2+7)$

Lemma 4.1. Assume $n \equiv 1 \mod 2$, so that $n \in C_{1,1}$, then $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) \ge 3$.

Lemma 4.1. Abound ... PROOF. Write $n = 2n_1 + 1$, then $n^2 + 7 = 4(n_1^2 + n_1 + 2) = 8\left(\frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2} + 1\right)$. This gives the result since $n_1(n_1 + 1)$ is even.

The class $C_{1,1}$ is non-terminal, since $1, 3 \in C_{1,1}$ and $\nu_2(1^2+7) = 3 \neq \nu_2(3^2+7) = 4$. Therefore the vertex corresponding to $C_{1,1}$ is split into the classes $C_{2,1}$ and $C_{2,3}$, to form the third level. Recall that $C_{2,1} = \{4n + 1\}$ and $C_{2,3} = \{4n + 3\}$. Neither of

these vertices is terminal. For instance, every number in $C_{2,1}$ has valuation at least 3 and

$$(4.2) \qquad (4n+1)^2 + 7 = 16n^2 + 8n + 8 \equiv 0 \mod 2^4$$

provided n is odd. A similar argument shows that $C_{2,3}$ is not terminal. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the next result. A similar discussion has been presented in [?].

Theorem 4.2. Let v be a non-terminating node at the k-th level for the valuation tree of $\nu_2(n^2 + 7)$. Then v splits into two vertices at the (k + 1)-level. Exactly one of them terminates, say with constant valuation ν_k . The second one has valuation at least $\nu_k + 1$.

Proof. Start with the class $C_{1,1} = \{2n+1\}$. As described above, this is nonterminal vertex, splitting into the classes $C_{2,1} = \{4n+1\}$ and $C_{2,3} = \{4n+3\}$. The class $C_{2,1}$ is non-terminal since $1, 5 \in C_{2,1}$ and $\nu_2(1) = 3$ and $\nu_2(5) = 5$. Similarly $3, 7 \in C_{2,3}$ and $\nu_2(3) = 4$ and $\nu_2(7) = 3$. Figure 10 shows the tree up to the first four levels.



FIGURE 10. First four levels of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2+7)$

The vertex $C_{2,1}$ now splits into $C_{3,1} = \{8n+1\}$ and $C_{3,5} = \{8n+5\}$. For the class $C_{3,1}$ observe that

(4.3)
$$\nu_2((8n+1)^2+7) = \nu_2(8[(8n^2+2n)+1]) = \nu_2(8) + \nu_2((8n^2+2n)+1) = 3.$$

This is shown in the left-most branch in the figure. Therefore $C_{3,1}$ is a terminal class. For the other one, $C_{2,3}$, it is easily seen that it splits into $C_{3,3}$ and $C_{3,7}$. The class $C_{3,7}$ is terminal, with value 3, as shown on the right-most branch and the class $C_{3,3}$ is not, so it continues.

At this point, the part of the tree that has not been described yet, splits into two branches:

(4.4)
$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{8n+3\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_2 = \{8n+5\}.$$

In view of an apparent symmetry, only the case \mathcal{B}_1 is discussed in detail. Note 4.4 presents information on this symmetry.

4.1. The 2-valuation of $(8n+3)^2+7$. The class $C_{3,3} = \{8n+3\}$ has valuation at least 3. Now observe first that this class is not terminal because $\nu_2((8 \times 1+3)^2+7) = 7$ and $\nu_2((8 \times 2+3)^2+7) = 4$. At the next level, the classes have the form $\{8(2n+j)+3\}$, where j = 0 or 1. Now

(4.5)
$$[8(2n+j)+3]^2 + 7 = 2^4 \left[2\{2(2n+j)^2 + 3n\} + 3j + 1\right]$$

shows that $\nu_2\left(\left[8(2n+j)+3\right]^2+7\right) \ge 4$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Moreover,

(4.6)
$$[8(2n+j)+3]^2 + 7 \equiv 2^4(3j+1) \mod 2^5.$$

In particular, when j = 0, it follows that $[8(2n+j)+3]^2 + 7 \equiv 2^4 \neq 0 \mod 2^5$. This proves that, for j = 0, one has $\nu_2\left([8(2n+j)+3]^2+7\right) = 4$, independent of n. On the other hand, for j = 1, the congruence (4.6) shows that $\nu_2\left([8(2n+j)+3]^2+7\right) \geq 5$.



FIGURE 11. The root and the fourth level of the tree for $\nu_2(n^2+7)$

The main step of the proof is given next.

Proposition 4.3. Assume $C_{\alpha,\beta} = \{2^{\alpha}n + \beta\}$ is a non-terminal class at level α , where the valuation is $\geq \alpha + 1$. Then, at the next level, this class splits into two classes

(4.7)
$$C_{\alpha+1,\beta} = \{2^{\alpha}(2n+0) + \beta\}$$
 and $C_{\alpha+1,2^{\alpha}+\beta} = \{2^{\alpha}(2n+1) + \beta\}$

one of which is terminal with valuation $\equiv \alpha + 1$ and the second one is non-terminating with valuation $\geq \alpha + 2$.

PROOF. The starting point is the class $C_{4,11} = \{2^4n+11\}$. It has been established that

(4.8)
$$\nu_2((16n+11)^2+7) \ge 5.$$

Indeed, $(16n + 11)^2 + 7 = 2^5(8n^2 + 11n + 4)$, so (4.8) holds. Moreover, for *n* odd, the valuation is $\equiv 5$ and for *n* even, this valuation is ≥ 6 . This shows the class $C_{4,11}$ splits into two classes with the stated properties. The conclusion of the proposition is valid at the initial step.

Now take a non-terminal class $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ with valuation $\geq \alpha + 1$; that is,

(4.9)
$$\nu_2\left((2^{\alpha}n+\beta)^2+7\right) \ge \alpha+1.$$

Observe that this implies $(2^{\alpha}n + \beta)^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{\alpha+1}$. In particular, this implies $\beta^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{\alpha+1}$ and so β is odd. Write $\beta^2 + 7 \equiv 2^{\alpha+1}\gamma$.

This class splits into the two classes

(4.10)
$$C_{\alpha+1,2^{\alpha}j+\beta} = \{2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta: n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Now

$$[2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7 \equiv 2^{\alpha+1}\beta j + \beta^2 + 7 \mod 2^{\alpha+2}$$
$$\equiv 2^{\alpha+1}(\beta j + \gamma) \mod 2^{\alpha+2}.$$

Since β is odd, the congruence $\beta j + \gamma \equiv 1 \mod 2$ has a unique solution (either 0 or 1) in the variable j. For that value of j,

$$[2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7 \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^{\alpha+2}$$

and this proves $\nu_2 [2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7] = \alpha + 1$, independent of *n*. For the other value of *j*, $[2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7 = 0 \mod 12^{\alpha+2}$

$$[2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{\alpha+1}$$

and this proves $\nu_2 [2^{\alpha}(2n+j)+\beta]^2 + 7] \ge \alpha + 2$, independent of *n*. This concludes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is complete.

Note 4.4. It remains to verify the symmetry of the branches

(4.11)
$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{8n+3\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_2 = \{8n+5\}.$$

An informal description is presented here.

Every index in the branch \mathcal{B}_1 has the form 8n + 3. Then

$$(4.12) (8n+3)^2 + 7 = 2^4(4n^2 + 3n + 1)$$

shows that $\nu_2((8n+3)^2+7) \ge 4$. To move to the next level in the tree, write n = 2m+j, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$(4.13) \qquad (8n+3)^2 + 7 = [8(2m+j)+3]^2 + 7 \equiv 2^4(3j+1) \bmod 2^5$$

Therefore, for j = 0, then $(8n + 3)^2 + 7 \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^5$ and thus its valuation is always 4, independently of *n*. This is the terminal vertex. On the other hand, for j = 1, it follows that $(8n + 3)^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \mod 2^5$ and its valuation is at least 5. The identity

$$(4.14) \quad \left(\left[8(2m+j)+3 \right]^2 + 7 \right) - \left(\left[8(2m+1-j)+5 \right]^2 + 7 \right) = 2^5(8j-5)(2m+1)$$

proves that

$$(4.15) \qquad [8(2m+j)+3]^2 + 7 \equiv [8(2m+1-j)+5]^2 + 7 \mod 2^5.$$

Therefore the roles of 0, 1 for the index j in the branch \mathcal{B}_1 are interchanged in the branch \mathcal{B}_2 . This phenomena persists at all levels: if there is a movement to the left, in the branch \mathcal{B}_1 to advance to the next level; then there is a movement to the right on \mathcal{B}_2 . This produces the symmetry of the two branches mentioned above. Some details are given below.

The equation

$$x^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \bmod 2^k$$

has exactly 4 non-congruent solutions in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$ for $k \ge 3$. If r_{k_1} and r_{k_2} are the solutions yielding odd multiples of 2^k , then the four non-congruent solutions to $x^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{k+1}$ are $r_{k_1} \pm 2^{k-1}$ and $r_{k_2} \pm 2^{k-1}$. Exactly two yield odd multiples of 2^{k+1} and two yield even multiples. We compute the valuation for one of the odd multiples (call it r_k):

$$\nu_2((2^kt \pm r_k)^2 + 7) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } c \text{ odd} \\ \geqslant k+1 & \text{if } c \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu_2((2^k t \pm (r_k + 2^{k-1}))^2 + 7) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } c \text{ even} \\ \geqslant k+1 & \text{if } c \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

since r_k must be odd.

Recalling the proposed form of the four branches

$$\begin{array}{l} 2^kt + r_k \ \mathrm{mod} \ 2^k, \\ 2^kt + r_k + 2^{k-1} \ \mathrm{mod} \ 2^k, \\ 2^kt + 2^k - r_k \ \mathrm{mod} \ 2^k, \\ 2^kt + 2^k - (r_k + 2^{k-1}) \ \mathrm{mod} \ 2^k \end{array}$$

it follows that either:

- (1) The branches $2^k t \pm r_k$ terminate with valuation equal to k and the branches $2^k t + r_k + 2^{k-1}$ and $2^k t + 2^k (r_k + 2^{k-1})$ continue with valuation greater than or equal to k + 1, or
- (2) The branches $2^{k}t \pm r_{k}$ continue with valuation greater than or equal to k+1 and the branches $2^{k}t + r_{k} + 2^{k-1}$ and $2^{k}t + 2^{k} (r_{k} + 2^{k-1})$ terminate with valuation equal to k.

This fact explains the symmetry in the 2-adic valuation tree of $x^2 + 7$, since the $2^k t \pm r_k$ branches lie on the *outside* (far left and far right) of each level and the $2^k t \pm (r_k + 2^{k-1})$ branches lie on the *inside*.

5. The range of the valuation $\nu_2(n^2+7)$

The proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that, given $k \ge 4$, there is a class such that $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = k$ for all indices n in the class. As a matter of fact, these classes appear one by level. This gives the main part of the next statement.

Theorem 5.1. The range of $\nu_2(n^2+7)$ is $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$.

Small values of the range of $\nu_2(n^2 + 7)$ admit easy characterization. An example is discussed next.

Lemma 5.2. The equation $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 0$ is equivalent to $n \equiv 0 \mod 2$.

PROOF. The valuation is 0 if and only if $n^2 + 7 \equiv 1 \mod 2$. In turn, this is equivalent to $n \equiv 0 \mod 2$.

There are two elements missing from this range.

Lemma 5.3. The equations $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 1$ or 2 have no solutions.

PROOF. Any solution satisfies $n^2 + 7 = 2t$. Therefore $n^2 + 7 = 2t$ and this implies n is odd, say n = 2m + 1. Then

(5.1)
$$n^2 + 7 = 4m^2 + 4m + 8 = 4(m^2 + m + 2) = 8\left(\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + 1\right).$$

This implies $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) \ge 3$ and establishes the result.

The next result continues describing the appearance of small values in the range of $\nu_2(n^2+7)$.

Lemma 5.4. The equation $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 3$ is equivalent to $n \equiv \pm 1 \mod 2^3$.

PROOF. If n = 8t + 1, then $n^2 + 7 = (8t + 1)^2 + 7 = 8(8t^2 + 2t + 1)$. Thus, $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 3$. The case of n = 8t + 7 is similar. Conversely, if $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 3$, then $n^2 + 7 = 2^3 s$, with s odd. Therefore $n^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ and this implies $n \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$, as claimed.

The position of indices with valuation 4, 5 are established in a similar manner.

Lemma 5.5. The equation $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 4$ is equivalent to $n \equiv \pm 3 \mod 2^4$. Similarly, $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = 5$ is equivalent to $n \equiv \pm 5 \mod 2^5$.

The previous results suggest a clear pattern.

Problem 5.6. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ at least 3, prove there is $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $\nu_2(n^2+7) = k$ if and only if $n \equiv \pm \alpha_k \mod 2^k$.

A characterization of the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ would be desirable.

Finally, the next table shows the smallest index n for which $\nu_2(n^2 + 7) = i$. This index is called λ_i .

i	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
λ_i	1	3	5	21	11	53	75	331	843	1867	3915	8011	181	16565

FIGURE 12. The minimum index n for which $\nu_2(n^2+7) = i$

Conclusions

The sequence of valuations $\{\nu_2(n^2 + a)\}$, for $1 \leq a \leq 6$ have been represented in terms of a finite tree. This corresponds to a closed-form expression for this valuation. The case a = 7 produces an infinite tree, with two branches. The experimental behavior of the tree makes it unlikely that such a closed-form expression exists for $\nu_2(n^2 + 7)$.

Acknowledgments. The work presented here was initiated at the REUF (Research Experience for Undergraduate Faculty) at ICERM in the summer of 2019. REUF is supported by the National Science Foundation through DMS grants 1620073 to AIM and 1620080 to ICERM. The authors wish to thank their corresponding institutions for the support received while this program was taking place.

101

OLENA KOZHUSHKINA ET AL

References

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ursinus College, Collegeville, PA 19426

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ okozhushkina@ursinus.edu

Department of Mathematics, Norfolk State University, Norfolk, VA 23504 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ mcbrucal-hallare@nsu.edu$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY, P.O. Box 13040, SFA Station, Nacogdoches, TX 75962-3040 *E-mail address*: longjh@sfasu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, U.S.A. $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{vhmGtulane.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ jpedjeu@tnstate.edu$

Department of Mathematics, Westmister College, Salt Lake City, UT 84105 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{bthompsonGwestminstercollege.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, Division of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Kentucky Wesleyan College, Owensboro, KY 42301 *E-mail address*: jtrulen@kwc.edu

Received 20 04 2020, revised 21 05 2020