

SCIENTIA

Series A: *Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 14 (2007), 17–20

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

Valparaíso, Chile

ISSN 0716-8446

© Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María 2007

ON SOME QUESTIONS OF wN -SPACES

XUN GE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that a quotient space obtained from the butterfly space is a separable, MCP -space and is not a wN -space, which answers a question on wN -spaces negatively. We also prove that a locally countably compact space X is an MCP -space if and only if it is a $k_c\beta$ -space, which give a partial answer for a question on MCP -spaces.

MCP -spaces and wN -spaces were investigated and the following question were raised by C. Good, R. Knight and I. Stares in [5].

- Question 1.** (1) Is there a separable MCP -space that is not wN ?
(2) Is there a (reasonable) g -function characterization of MCP spaces?

In this paper, we answer Question 1(1) negatively and give a partial answer of Question 1(2). Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be regular and all mappings are continuous and onto. The letter ω denotes the first infinite ordinal, the letter \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers. Let A be a subset of a space, \bar{A} and A° denote closure and interior of A , respectively. Let $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ be two sequences of sets, we write $\{A_n\} \preceq \{B_n\}$ if $A_n \subset B_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

Definition 2. A space X is called to be an MCP -space ([5]), if there exists an operator U assigning to every decreasing sequence $\mathcal{D}=\{D_n\}$ of closed subsets of X with empty intersection, a sequence $U(\mathcal{D})=\{U(n, \mathcal{D})\}$ of open subsets of X such that the following hold.

- (1) $D_n \subset U(n, \mathcal{D})$ for every $n \in \omega$.
- (2) $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{U(n, \mathcal{D})} = \emptyset$.
- (3) If $\mathcal{D} \preceq \mathcal{E}$, then $U(\mathcal{D}) \preceq U(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 3. Let X be a space. $g : \omega \times X \longrightarrow \{\text{open subsets of } X\}$ is called to be a g -function on X if $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} g(n, x)$ for every $x \in X$. A space X is called to be a wN -space ([6]) (resp. a q -space ([8]), a $k_c\beta$ -space ([11])) if there is a g -function on X such that the following (1) (resp. (2), (3)) holds.

- (1) If $g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point.
- (2) If $x_n \in g(n, x)$ for every $n \in \omega$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point.
- (3) Let K be a countably compact subset of X . If $K \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C10, 54D18, 54D20, 54E99.

Key words and phrases. MCP -Space; wN -space; $k_c\beta$ -space; butterfly space.

Definition 4. A space X is called to be stratifiable ([2]) if there is a function $S : \omega \times \tau \longrightarrow \{\text{closed subsets of } X\}$, where τ is the topology on X , such that following (1) and (2) hold.

- (1) If $U \in \tau$, then $U = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (S(n, U))^\circ$.
- (2) If $U, V \in \tau$ and $U \subset V$, then $S(n, U) \subset S(n, V)$ for every $n \in N$.

Remark 5. The following are known (see [5], for example).

- (1) Stratifiable \implies MCP.
- (2) $wN \iff q$, MCP.

Construction 6. Let $X = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. X is the butterfly space ([3]), if the topology on X is generated as follows.

- (1) If $(x, y) \in X$, where $y \neq 0$, then neighborhoods of (x, y) are the natural neighborhoods of (x, y) on the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 .
- (2) If $(x, 0) \in X$, then take as a base at the point $(x, 0)$ the family $\{\{(x, 0)\} \cup U_n(x) : n \in \omega\}$, where $U_n(x)$ is the set of all points inside the circle of radius $1/n$ and center at $(x, 0)$ but outside the two circles of radius n tangent to the x -axis at $(x, 0)$.

Remark 7. The butterfly space is hereditarily separable ([9, Page 175-176]) and stratifiable ([7, Example 4.3]).

By Remark 5, the following example give a negative answer for Question 1(1).

Example 8. There is a hereditarily separable, stratifiable space, which is not a q -space.

Proof. Let X be the butterfly space and let I be a closed bounded interval on the real axis. Let Y be the quotient space obtained from X by shrinking I to a point. Put $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is the natural quotient mapping. It is clear that f is a closed mapping. Note that closed mappings preserve hereditarily separable spaces and stratifiable spaces ([2]). So Y is a hereditarily separable, stratifiable space from Remark 7, but Y is not a q -space from [7, Example 4.3]. \square

The following lemma come from [4, Theorem 1].

Lemma 9. *A space X is an MCP-space if and only if there is an operator V assigning to every closed subset F of X , a sequence $V(F) = \{V_n(F)\}$ of open subsets of X such that the following hold.*

- (1) $V_{n+1}(F) \subset V_n(F)$ and $F \subset V_n(F)$ for every closed subset F of X and every $n \in \omega$.
- (2) If E and F are closed subsets of X and $E \subset F$, then $V_n(E) \subset V_n(F)$ for every $n \in \omega$.
- (3) If $\{F_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)} = \emptyset$.

Proposition 10. *If X is an MCP-space, then X is a $k_c\beta$ -space.*

Proof. Let X be an MCP-space. By Lemma 9, there is an operator V assigning to every closed subset F of X , a sequence $V(F) = \{V_n(F)\}$ of open subsets of X satisfying Lemma 9(1),(2),(3). For every $n \in \omega$ and for every $x \in X$, put $g(n, x) = V_n(\{x\})$. Then $g(n, x)$ is a g -function on X . Let K be a countably compact subset of X and $K \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, It suffices to prove that $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ has a cluster point. If not, put $F_n = \{x_m : m \geq n\}$. Then $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ is

a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X with empty intersection. By Lemma 9(3), $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, for every $n \in \omega$, $K \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$, that is, $K \cap V_n(\{x_n\}) \neq \emptyset$. For every $n \in \omega$, notice that $x_n \in F_n$, so $K \cap V_n(F_n) \neq \emptyset$ from Lemma 9(2). Thus $\{K \cap \overline{V_n(F_n)} : n \in \omega\}$ is a countable collection of closed subsets of countably compact subspace K which has the finite intersection property. Hence $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} (K \cap \overline{V_n(F_n)}) \neq \emptyset$, so $K \cap (\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)}) \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)} = \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 11. *If X is a locally countably compact, $k_c\beta$ -space, then X is an MCP-space.*

Proof. Let X be a locally countably compact, $k_c\beta$ -space, and let $g(n, x)$ be a g -function on X which satisfies Definition 3(3). For every closed subset F of X , put $V(F) = \{V_n(F)\}$, where $V_n(F) = \bigcup\{g(n, x) : x \in F\}$ for every $n \in \omega$. It is easy to see that the operator V described as above satisfies Lemma 9(1),(2). We only need to prove that the operator V satisfies Lemma 9(3). Let $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X with empty intersection. It suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)} = \emptyset$. If not, $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n(F_n)} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \in \overline{V_n(F_n)}$ for every $n \in \omega$. Since X is locally countably compact, there exists a countable compact neighborhood K of p . Note that $K \cap V_n(F_n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$. So there exists $x_n \in F_n$ such that $K \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$. Thus sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ has a cluster point x from Definition 3(3). It is easy to see that $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n$. This contradicts that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$. \square

Note that every locally countably compact, MCP-space is a wN -space ([5, Theorem 8]). So every locally countably compact, $k_c\beta$ -space is a wN -space.

Theorem 12. *A locally countably compact space X is an MCP-space (or wN -space) if and only if it is a $k_c\beta$ -space.*

We do not know whether "locally countably compact" in Proposition 11 can be omitted. That is, we have the following question.

Question 13. Is a $k_c\beta$ -space an MCP-space?

Recall a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is finite-to-one (resp. k -to-one), if $f^{-1}(y)$ consists of finite points (exactly k points) in X for each $y \in Y$. Tanaka[10, Example 3.2] gave an example to show that finite-to-one and open mappings do not preserve wN -spaces, that is, [5, Proposition 18] is not true. An interesting question is: How open mappings preserve wN -spaces? Note that every k -to-one and open mappings is a closed mapping ([1, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2]) and finite-to-one and closed mappings preserve wN -spaces ([4, Corollary 11]). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a k -to-one and open mapping. If X is a wN -space, then Y is a wN -space.*

REFERENCES

1. A.Arhangelskii, Test for the existence of bicomact element in a continuous decomposition, theorem on the invariance of weight in open-closed finitely multiple mappings, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 166(1966), 1263-1266.
2. C.R.Borge, On stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 17(1966), 1-16.
3. J.G.Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 11(1961), 105-125.

4. Y.Ge and C.Good, A note on monotone countable paracompactness, Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae, 42(2001), 771-778.
5. C.Good, R.Knight and I.Stares, Monotone countable paracompactness, Topology Appl., 101(2000), 281-298.
6. R.E.Hodel, Moore spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces, Pacific J. Math., 38(1971), 641-652.
7. D.J.Lutzer, Semimetrizable and stratifiable spaces, Topology Appl., 1(1971), 43-48.
8. E.Michael, A note on closed maps and compact sets, Israel J. Math., 2(1964), 173-176.
9. L.A.Steen and Jr.J.A.Seebach, Counterexamples in topology, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
10. Y.Tanaka, On open finite-to-one maps, Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ., Ser. IV, 25(1973), 1-13.
11. L.Wu, On k -semistratifiable spaces, J. of Suzhou Univ., 1(1983), 1-4.(in Chinese)

Received 04 06 2006, revised 01 02 2007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
SUZHOU UNIVERSITY,
SUZHOU 215006,
P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: zhugexun@163.com