SCIENTIA
Series A: Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 14 (2007), 35–40
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María
Valparaíso, Chile
ISSN 0716-8446
© Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María 2007

# A mapping theorem on g-metrizable spaces

Zhaowen Li<sup>1,a</sup>, Qingguo Li<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give some mapping theorems on g-metrizable spaces in terms of some sequence-covering mappings,  $\sigma$ -mappings and  $\pi$ -mappings.

## 1. Introduction and definitions

G-metrizable spaces constitute an important class of generalized metric spaces in the metrization theory. In 1965, R.W.Heath<sup>[12]</sup> proved that a space is developable if and only if it is an open  $\pi$ -image of a metric space. In 1969, J.A.Kofner<sup>[13]</sup> proved that a space is a symmetric space satisfying weak cauchy condition if and only if it is a quotient  $\pi$ -image of a metric space. In 1972, D.K.Burke<sup>[14]</sup> proved that a space is semimetrizable if and only if it is a countably bi-quotient (or pseudo-open)  $\pi$ -image of a metric space. In 1976, K.B.Lee<sup>[15]</sup> proved that every g-metrizable space is a quotient  $\pi$ -image of a metric space. In this paper, the relationships between metric spaces and g-metrizable spaces are established in terms of some sequence-covering mappings,  $\sigma$ -mappings and  $\pi$ -mappings.

In this paper, all spaces are regular and  $T_1$ , all mappings are continuous and surjective. N denotes the set of all positive integers.  $\omega$  denotes the set of all natural numbers. For a family P of subsets of a space X and a mapping  $f: X \to Y$ , let  $f(P) = \{f(P) : P \in P\}$ . For two families A and B of subsets of X, let  $A \land B =$  $\{A \cap B : A \in A \text{ and } B \in B\}$ . For the usual product space  $\prod_{i \in N} X_i$ ,  $p_i$  denotes the

projection from  $\prod_{i \in N} X_i$  onto  $X_i$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a mapping.

(1) f is said to be a  $\sigma$ -mapping<sup>[1]</sup> if there exists a base B for X such that f(B) is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite family of subsets of Y.

35

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54E99; 54C10.

Key words and phrases.  $\aleph$ -spaces; g-metrizable spaces; strong sequence-covering mappings; g-mappings;  $\pi$ -mappings.

The work is supported by the NSF of China (No.10471035), the NSF of Hunan Province in China (No. 06JJ20046) and the NSF of Education Department of Hunan Province in China.

(2) f is said to be a strong sequence-covering mapping<sup>[6]</sup> if each convergent sequence (including its limit point) of Y is the image of some convergent sequence (including its limit point) of X.

(3) f is said to be a sequence-covering mapping<sup>[10]</sup> if each convergent sequence (including its limit point) of Y is the image of some compact subset of X.

(4) f is said to be a  $\pi$ -mapping<sup>[7]</sup> if (X, d) is a metric space and for each  $y \in Y$  and its open neighborhood V in Y,  $d(f^{-1}(y), M \smallsetminus f^{-1}(V)) > 0$ .

It is easy to check that compact mappings on metric spaces are  $\pi$ -mappings.

**Definition 1.2** Let P be a cover of a space X.

(1) P is said to be a k-network<sup>[8]</sup> for X if for each compact subset K of X and its open neighborhood V, there exists a finite subfamily P' of P such that  $K \subset \cup$  $P' \subset V$ .

(2) P is said to be a cs-network for X if for each  $x \in X$ , its open neighborhood and a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to x, there exists  $P \in P$  such that  $\{x_n : n \ge m\} \cup \{x\}$  $\subset P \subset V$  for some  $m \in N$ .

(3) P is said to be a cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for X if for each  $x \in X$ , its open neighborhood V and a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to x, there exist  $P \in P$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k} : k \in N\} \cup \{x\} \subset P \subset V$ .

A space X is called an  $\aleph$ -space if X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite k-network.

**Definition 1.3** Let  $P = \bigcup \{P_x : x \in X\}$  be a family of subsets of a space X satisfying that for each  $x \in X$ ,

(1)  $P_x$  is a network of x in X,

(2) If  $U, V \in P_x$ , then  $W \subset U \cap V$  for some  $W \in P_x$ .

P is called a weak-base for  $X^{[2]}$  if  $G \subset X$  such that for each  $x \in G$ , there exists  $P \in P_x$  satisfying  $P \subset G$ , then G is open in X.

A space X is called a g-metrizable space<sup>[3]</sup> if X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite weak-base. We have the following implications for a space  $X^{[5]}$ :

metrizable  $\implies$  g-metrizable  $\iff$  symmetrizable +  $\aleph$ -space

## 2. The main result

**Lemma 2.1** The following are equivalent for a space *X*:

(1) X is an  $\aleph$ -space;

(2) X is a strong sequence-covering  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space;

(3) X is a sequence-covering  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space.

**Proof.** (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose X is an  $\aleph$ -space, then X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite csnetwork by Theorem 4 of [4]. Let  $P = \bigcup \{P_i : i \in N\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network for X, where each  $P_i = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in A_i\}$  is a locally finite family of subsets of X which is closed under finite intersections and  $X \in P_i \subset P_{i+1}$ . For each  $i \in N$ , endow  $A_i$  with discrete topology, then  $A_i$  is a metric space. Put

$$M = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_i) \in \prod_{i \in N} A_i : \{ P_{\alpha_i} : i \in N \} \subset P \text{ forms a network at some point } x(\alpha) \in X \right\},$$

and endow M with the subspace topology induced from the usual product topology of the family  $\{A_i : i \in N\}$  of metric spaces, then M is a metric space. Since Xis Hausdroff,  $x(\alpha)$  is unique in X for each  $\alpha \in M$ . We define  $f : M \to X$  by  $f(\alpha) = x(\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in M$ . Because P is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network for X, then f is surjective. For each  $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in M$ ,  $f(\alpha) = x(\alpha)$ . Suppose V is an open neighborhood of  $x(\alpha)$  in X, there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $x(\alpha) \in P_{\alpha_n} \subset V$ , set  $W = \{c \in M :$  the n-th coordinate of c is  $\alpha_n\}$ , then W is an open neighborhood of  $\alpha$ in M, and  $f(W) \subset P_{\alpha_n} \subset V$ . Hence f is continuous. We will show that f is a strong sequence-covering  $\sigma$ -mapping.

(i) f is a  $\sigma$ -mapping.

For each  $n \in N$  and  $\alpha_n \in A_n$ , put

 $V(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) = \{\beta \in M: \text{ for each } i \leq n, \text{ the i-th coordinate of } \beta \text{ is } \alpha_i\}.$ Let  $B = \{V(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_i \in A_i (i \leq n) \text{ and } n \in N\}$ , then B is a base for M. To prove f is a  $\sigma$ -mapping, we only need to check that  $f(V(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} P_{\alpha_i}$ 

for each  $n \in N$  and  $\alpha_n \in A_n$  because f(B) is  $\sigma$ -locally finite in X by this result. For each  $n \in N$ ,  $\alpha_n \in A_n$  and  $i \leq n$ ,  $f(V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) \subset P_{\alpha_i}$ , then  $f(V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$ 

 $\bigcap_{i \leq n} P_{\alpha_i}. \text{ On the other hand. For each } x \in \bigcap_{i \leq n} P_{\alpha_i}, \text{ there is } \beta = (\beta_j) \in M \text{ such that}$   $f(\beta) = x. \text{ For each } j \in N, P_{\beta_j} \in P_j \subset P_{j+n}, \text{ then there is } \alpha_{j+n} \in A_{j+n} \text{ such that}$   $P_{\alpha_{j+n}} = P_{\beta_j}. \text{ Set } \alpha = (\alpha_j), \text{ then } \alpha \in V \ (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \text{ and } f(\alpha) = x. \text{ Thus } \bigcap_{i \leq n} P_{\alpha_i} \subset f(V(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)). \text{ Hence } f(V(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)) = \bigcap_{i \leq n} P_{\alpha_i}. \text{ Therefore, } f \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-mapping.}$ 

(ii) f is a strong sequence-covering mapping.

For each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x_0$ , we can assume that all  $x'_n s$  are distinct, and that  $x_n \neq x_0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Set  $K = \{x_m : m \in \omega\}$ . Suppose V is an open neighborhood of K in X. A subfamily A is said to hold the property F(K, V), if

- (a) A is finite;
- (b) for each  $P \in A$ ,  $\emptyset \neq P \cap K \subset P \subset V$ ;
- (c) for each  $z \in K$ , exists unique  $P_z \in A$  such that  $z \in P_z$ ;
- (d) if  $x_0 \in P \in A$ , then  $K \smallsetminus P$  is finite.

Since P is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network for X, then the above construction can be realized, and we can assume that  $\{A \subset P_i : A \text{ holds the property } F(K, X)\} = \{A_{ij} : j \in N\}.$ 

For each  $n \in N$ , put

$$P'_n = \bigwedge_{i,j \leqslant n} A_{ij} \; ,$$

then  $P'_n \subset P_n$  and  $P'_n$  also holds the property F(K, X).

For each  $i \in N$ ,  $m \in \omega$  and  $x_m \in K$ , there is  $\alpha_{im} \in A_i$  such that  $x_m \in P_{\alpha_{im}} \in P'_i$ . Let  $\beta_m = (\alpha_{im}) \in \prod_{i \in N} A_i$ . By definition,  $\{P_{\alpha_{im}} : i \in N\}$  is a network of  $x_m$  in X, and  $f(\beta_m) = x_m$  for each  $m \in \omega$ . For each  $i \in N$ , there is  $n(i) \in N$  such that  $\alpha_{in} = \alpha_{io}$  when  $n \ge n(i)$ . Hence the sequence  $\{\alpha_{in}\}$  converges to  $\alpha_{io}$  in  $A_i$ . Thus the sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  converges to  $\beta_0$  in M. This shows that f is a strong sequence-covering mapping. (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3) are obvious.  $(3) \implies (1)$ . Suppose X is the image of a metric space M under a sequencecovering  $\sigma$ -mapping f. Since f is a  $\sigma$ -mapping, there exists a base B for M such that f(B) is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite family of subsets of X. Because sequence-covering mappings preserve cs<sup>\*</sup>-networks by Proposition 2.7.3 of [9], then f(B) is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for X. Hence X is an  $\aleph$ -space by [11, Lemma 1.17, Theorem 1.4].

**Lemma 2.2**<sup>[5]</sup> Suppose (X, d) is a metric space and  $f : X \to Y$  is a quotient mapping. Then Y is a symmetric space if and only if f is a  $\pi$ -mapping.

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose f is a quotient mapping from a k-sapce M onto a space X. If P is a k-network for M and f(P) is point-countable in X, then f(P) is a k-network for X.

**Proof.** Denote F = f(P). Suppose  $K \subset V$  with K non-empty compact and V open in X. Put

$$A = \{ F \in F : F \cap K \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F \subset V \},\$$

then  $K \subset \cup A'$  for some finite  $A' \subset A$ . Otherwise, for any finite  $A' \subset A$ ,  $K \setminus \cup A' \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $x \in K$ , put

$$A_x = \{ F \in F : x \in F \subset V \},\$$

then  $A_x$  is countable, and  $A = \bigcup \{A_x : x \in K\}$ . Denote  $A_x = \{F_i(x) : i \in N\}$  for each  $x \in K$ . Take  $x_1 \in K$ , then there exists a infinite subset  $D = \{x_n : n \in N\}$  of K such that each  $x_{n+1} \in K \setminus \bigcup_{i,j \leq n} F_i(x_j)$ . So D has a cluster point by the compactness of

K. Let x be a cluster point of D, and set  $B = D \setminus \{x\}$ , then B isn't closed in X. Since f is a quotient mapping,  $f^{-1}(B)$  isn't closed in M. Because M is a k-space, then there exists a compact subset L of M such that  $f^{-1}(B) \cap L$  isn't closed in L. Let  $g = f|_L : L \to f(L)$ , then g is a closed mapping, and  $g^{-1}(B \cap f(L)) = f^{-1}(B) \cap L$ . So  $B \cap f(L)$  isn't closed in f(L). Hence  $B \cap f(L)$  is a infinite subset of X, and  $D \cap f(L)$  is so. By  $K \cap f(L) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $H = L \cap f^{-1}(K)$  is non-empty compact in M and  $H \subset f^{-1}(K) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ , then  $H \subset \cup P' \subset f^{-1}(V)$  for some finite  $P' \subset P$ . Thus  $f(H) \subset f(\cup P') \subset V$ . Denote  $P' = \{P_m : m \leq q\}$ . We can assume that  $P_m \cap H \neq \emptyset$  for each  $m \leq q$ , then  $f(P_m) \in A$ . Because

$$D \cap f(\cup P') \supset D \cap f(H) = D \cap f(L),$$

then  $D \cap f(\cup P')$  is infinite. Thus  $f(P_m)$  includes infinite points of D for some  $m \leq q$ . Take  $x_j \in D \cap f(P_m)$ , then  $f(P_m) = F_i(x_j)$  for some  $i \in N$ . However, there exists n > i, j such that  $x_n \in F_i(x_j)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $K \subset \cup A' \subset V$  for some finite  $A' \subset A$ . So F is a k-network for X.

**Theorem 2.4** The following are equivalent for a space *X*:

- (1) X is a *g*-metrizable space.
- (2) X is a strong sequence-covering, quotient,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space.
- (3) X is a sequence-covering, quotient,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space.
- (4) X is a quotient,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space.

**Proof.**  $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$  follows from Lemma 4, Proposition 2.1.16 (2) of [9] and Lemma 5.

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (3) \Longrightarrow (4)$  are obvious.

(4)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose X is the image if a metric space (X, d) under a quotient,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ -mapping f. Since f is a  $\sigma$ -mapping, then there exists a base B for M such that f(B) is  $\sigma$ -locally finite in X. By Lemma 6, f(B) is a k-network for X. Thus X is an  $\aleph$ -space. Hence X is a g-metrizable space by Lemma 5.

**Example 2.5** Compact-covering, quotient, compact image of locally compact metric spaces may not be *g*-metrizable.

Let

$$S = \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in N\right\} \cup \{0\}, \quad X = [0, 1] \times S.$$

Define a typical neighborhood of (t, 0) in X to be of the form

$$\{(t,0)\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k \ge n} V(t,1/k)\right), \quad n \in N,$$

where V(t, 1/k) is a neighborhood of (t, 1/k) in  $[0, 1] \times \{1/k\}$ . Put

 $M = (\bigoplus_{n \in N} [0, 1] \times \{1/n\}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{t \in [0, 1]} \{t\} \times S),$ 

and define f from M onto X such that f is the natural map, that is, f(t,s) = (t,s) for each  $(t,s) \in M$ .

Then f is a compact-covering, quotient, at most two-to-one map from the locally compact metric space M onto separable, regular, non-meta-Lindelöf space X (see Example 2.8.16 in [9] or Example 1 in [16]). It is easy to check that f is a sequencecovering map. By Lemma 2.2 in [11], X has a point-regular weak-base. Because X is sequential, and a regular sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -locally countable k-network is meta-Lindelöf (see [8, Proposition 1]), then X has not any  $\sigma$ -locally countable k-network. So X is not an  $\aleph$ -space. Thus X is not g-metrizable.

This example also illustrates:

A quotient,  $\pi$ -image of a metric space is not necessarily a quotient,  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ -image of a metric space.

### References

- P. Alexandroff, On some results concerning topological spaces and their continous mappings, Proc. Symp. Gen. Top. Prague, 1961, 4-54.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys, 21(1966), 115-162.
- [3] F. Siwiec, On defining a space by a weak-base, Pacific J. Math., 52 (1974), 233-245.
- [4] L. Forged, Characterizations of ℵ-spaces, Pacific J. Math., 110(1984), 59-63.
- [5] Y. Tanaka, Symmetric spaces, g-developable space and g-metrizable space, Math. Japon., 36(1991), 71-84.
- [6] F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, Gen. Top. Appl., 1(1971), 143-154.
- [7] V. I. Ponomarev, Axioms of countability and continuous mappings, Bull. Pol. Acad., Math., 8(1960), 127-133.
- [8] P. O'Meara, On paracompactness in function space with the compact-open topology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 29(1971), 183-189.
- [9] S. Lin, Generalized metric spaces and mappings, Chinese Scientific Publ., Beijing, 1995.

### ZHAOWEN LI AND QINGGUO LI

- [10] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael, Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math., 113(1984), 303-332.
- [11] S.Lin, Y.Zhou, P.Yan, On sequential-covering compact mappings, Acta Math. Sinica, 45(2002),1157-1164.
- [12] R. W. Heath, On open mappings and certain spaces satisfying the first countability axiom, Fund. Math., 57(1965), 91-96.
- [13] J. A. Kofner, On a new class of spaces and some problems of symmetrizability theory, Soviet Math. Dokl., 10(1969), 845-848.
- [14] D. K. Burke, Cauchy sequences in semimetric spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 33(1972), 161-164.
- [15] K. B. Lee, On certain g-first countable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 65(1976), 113-118.
- [16] E. Michael,  $\sigma\text{-locally finite mappings},$  Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 65(1977), 159-164.
- [17] L. Foged, On g-metrizability, Pacific J. Math., 98(1982), 327-332.
- [18] Y. Tanaka, Z. Li, Certain covering-maps and k-networks, and related matters, Topology Proc., 27(2003), 317-334.
- [19] Z. Li, S. Lin, On the weak-open images of metric spaces, Czech. Math. J., 54(2004), 393-400.

Received 16 11 2006, revised 03 03 2007

 $^{1,a}{\rm College}$  of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082

P.R.China

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{lizhaowen8846@163.com}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Information,

Hunan Business College,

Changsha, Hunan 410205,

P.R.CHINA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{liqingguoli@yahoo.com.cn}$ 

40